

Communiqué

SOCIÉTÉ CANADIENNE D'HISTOIRE ET DE PHILOSOPHIE DES SCIENCES

CANADIAN SOCIETY FOR THE HISTORY AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

Nº 91

Summer/Été 2015

Lost in Redaction ? Ou de quelques conseils à l'usage des doctorant.e.s

Entretien avec Sarah Arnaud, doctorante en philosophie, en cotutelle à l'UQAM (Montréal) et à l'Université Paris Sorbonne (France).

Sarah, étudiante membre de la SCHPS qui s'intéresse particulièrement à la philosophie des sciences cognitives et à la philosophie de l'esprit et dont la thèse porte sur les émotions et l'autisme, a bien voulu échanger avec Vincent Guillain à propos de son expérience de doctorante. Il sera ici question de l'utilité de participer à des conférences, de la place des femmes en philosophie, et d'organisation du travail.

Communiqué: Sarah, entre mai et août 2015, tu as participé à 7 manifestations scientifiques, en Europe et

en Amérique du Nord (dont la conférence annuelle de la SCHPS à Ottawa). Pourquoi est-ce si important de présenter ainsi son travail?

Sarah: D'abord, parce que participer à une conférence dans laquelle tu exposes ton travail t'impose des échéances à respecter, te permet de donner un rythme à la course de fond que représente la rédaction d'une thèse. Ensuite, parce la présentation orale t'oblige à présenter ton travail de manière claire et concise et à synthétiser efficacement les monceaux de lecture que tu as pu faire. C'est aussi l'occasion de revenir sur l'argument de ta thèse ou d'une de ses parties, de le schématiser et donc de voir si tes hypothèses de départ sont toujours valables. Tu peux aussi profiter d'une conférence pour pratiquer tes compétences linguistiques (pour moi, l'anglais). Quant à la période des questions, elle peut te servir à identifier les points forts... et les points faibles de ton argumentation, à anticiper des objections, ou, plus positivement, à découvrir des perspectives nouvelles sur les problèmes que tu traites. Assister aux présentations dans une conférence (ou dans une école d'été), c'est en plus une opportunité d'apprendre beaucoup en peu de temps, de découvrir la richesse du champ dans lequel tu travailles (pour ma part, cela m'a fait découvrir la variété des approches et des thématiques rien que pour la question des émotions) ou de te garder informé des avancées dans les autres domaines de la discipline. Finalement, les conférences sont des lieux où tu peux te créer un réseau, initier des collaborations, te faire connaître et ainsi préparer l'après-thèse (en se renseignant sur les possibilités de post-doc ou les positions à pourvoir).



Sarah Arnaud; photo par Émilie Tournevache

suite à la page 16

COMMUNIQUÉ

Newsletter of the
Société canadienne d'histoire et de philosophie des
sciences/Canadian Society for the History and
Philosophy of Science

Nº 91
Summer/Été
2015

www.cshps.ca www.schps.ca

Please direct submissions and inquiries to Vincent Guillain or Eleanor Louson, preferably by email (details below). Please note that submissions can be sent in both official languages. The editors are grateful to York University for assistance in printing and mailing costs, and to the University of Guelph for providing the necessary software.

Co-editors:

Vincent Guillain
Philosophy Department
Université du Québec à Montréal
guillin.vincent_philippe@uqam.ca

Eleanor Louson
STS Graduate Program
York University
elouson@yorku.ca

CSHPS-SCHPS Executive:

President: Lesley Cormack (SFU)
Past-President: Kathleen Okruhlik (UWO)
First Vice-President: Frédéric Bouchard
(Université de Montréal)
Second Vice-President and Corresponding
Secretary: Joan Steigerwald (York)
Secretary-Treasurer: Conor Burns (Ryerson)

Editors' Message

Welcome back to school! As we begin our second year at the helm of Communiqué, we are happy to present a student's-eye-view of the Canadian HPS discipline. Vincent's interview with Sarah Arnaud reveals the ins and outs of grad school life, while our latest Career Corner column from Jonathan Turner is all about tailoring your CV and dossier documents for the challenging job market. As you'll see in our 2015 AGM draft minutes, the topic of how best to support graduate students within humanities PhDs elicited intense discussion, which we hope will continue as our departments and graduate programs work to face the challenging job climate. We've also included a snapshot of current online resources in HPS that we hope will be useful to students and faculty alike.

Eleanor and Vincent

Please note that the minutes of the 2014 Annual Meeting can be found in Communiqué 88 on the CSHPS website at www.cshps.ca

ISSUE CONTENTS

CSHPS/SCHPS 2015 Annual Meeting

2015 Agenda	...3
2015 Draft Minutes	...3-5
2015 Reports	...6-8

CSHPS on Social Media

...8

Career Corner

...9

Announcements

Jobs	...9-10
Research Opportunities	...10-11
Conferences	...11-13
Updates	...13-14

HPS Online Resources

...14-16

Entretien avec Sarah Arnaud (suite)

...16-17

SCHPS/CSHPS

Société Canadienne d'histoire et
philosophie des sciences
Canadian Society for the History and
Philosophy of Science

AGENDA Annual General Meeting University of Ottawa, May 31, 2015

1. Approval of Agenda
2. Minutes of Last Meeting
3. President's Report
4. Requests for CSHPS Support
5. Secretary's Report
6. Treasurer's Report
7. Programme Committee Report & Hadden Prize Report
8. Nominating Committee's Report
9. Report of the First Vice-President
10. Communiqué Report

Please note that the minutes of the 2014 Annual Meeting can be found in Communiqué 88 on the CSHPS website at www.cshps.ca

Draft Minutes of Annual General Meeting University of Ottawa, May 31, 2015

In attendance: Frédéric Bouchard, Jörg Matthias Determann, Kathleen Okruhlik, Ed Cohen, Christophe Malaterre, Vincent Guillin, Ben Mitchell, Isaac Record, Ernie Hamm, Nicolas Fillion, Kent Peacock, James Robert Brown, Joan Steigerwald, Erich Weidenhammer, David Orenstein, Tara Abraham, Mitia Rioux-Beaulne, Eleanor Louson, Jennifer Hubbard, Joseph Berkowitz, Lucia Dacome, Yves Gingras, Lesley Cormack, Conor Burns.

Call to order & introduction (Lesley Cormack).

1. Approval of agenda
Kathleen Okruhlik MOVES to approve; Jennifer Hubbard second; Approval PASSED
2. Approval of minutes of 2014 AGM:
David Orenstein MOVES to approve; Frederic Bouchard second; Approval PASSED
3. President's Report (Lesley Cormack)
 - a. 3 Societies Meeting, Edmonton, June 22-25, 2016
LC gives overview of arrangements for meeting; we need a rep from CSHPS for the Programme Committee. David Orenstein volunteers. Lesley thanks him for offering and will be in touch for next steps.
 - b. Update on IUHPS, DHST & DLMPS (International Union for the History and Philosophy of Science, Division of History of Science & Technology and Division of Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science)
-LC gives reminder that we continue to be a member of both divisions and that NRC is keen for us to have leadership positions on international councils such as these; LC asks that people get involved, especially in DLMPS, as we have better representation in DHST. LC continues as First VP of DHST
-DLMPS meets this year in Helsinki; Dirk Schlimm will be going as our representative



c. Update on CSHPS archives to York University.

LC provides summary of Kenton Kroker's memo regarding the archives at York. Essentially, before York library & archives enters into a formal agreement, they want us to have some policies in place.

-We will seek to make a change to the CSHPS constitution in order to add new "Archival Officer"/"Archival Liaison" position.

-General discussion followed; some concern raised about issues related to digitization; some to possible loss of information.

-Kathleen Okruhlik MOVED to thank formally Kenton Kroker and especially Adam Taves for the hard work done on this so far (Adam is an Associate Librarian at York University Libraries who's taken on oversight of CSHPS materials) Ernie Hamm second; Motion PASSED

d. Future of PhD in Humanities

Lesley talks about her recent attendance at the "Future of the PhD in Humanities" workshop at McGill and wanted to convey to our membership the importance for faculty to think about what might be added to give grad students more marketable skills outside academia, especially given shrinking academic job market

This generated considerable discussion: some worry about devaluing PhD (Josi Berkowitz); issue raised that faculty supervisors don't get credit when students get good jobs outside academia and that taking such jobs should not necessarily be a "plan B" (Fred B); Jim Brown suggested that there are many jobs to be had in Community Colleges; issue of increasing mental health issues among grad students was raised, but also pointed out that this is a concern among students much earlier; Gordon M mentioned push in TriCouncil to come up with joint programs across Tricouncil as a way of taking steps toward broader job market preparation.

4. Requests for CSHPS Support

a. Bill 100 in Nova Scotia

Lesley and Gordon gave a brief summary of the Bill (basically, that it grants extraordinary powers of reorganization injurious to academic freedom and tenure to university administrations for universities that run a deficit for one year, which is basically every university). After considerable discussion, it was decided that

CSHPS should pass the following motion:

CSHPS Motion re Nova Scotia Bill 100

MOVED that: In light of recent legislation in Nova Scotia, Bill 100, the Universities Accountability and Sustainability Act, given Royal Assent on May 11, 2015,

CSHPS deeply regrets the passage of this Act, because it:

-Threatens academic freedom and the integrity of academic institutions

-Fails to reflect adequately the contribution of universities to the economy and liberal society of Nova Scotia

-Does not properly recognize university governance structures and oversight mechanisms

-Fails to take into account the systemic underinvestment in PSE that has led to these issues

We therefore urge all governments to reject and repeal such legislation or draft regulations that remedy these concerns.

Yves Gingras: MOVES to approve statement; Joan Steigerwald: second; Motion PASSED unanimously

b. Statement on Federal Scientists Freedom to Communicate

-Last year we voted not to adopt a position statement on this issue.

-In light of further events, CSHPS has decided we should comment. FB argues that science studies scholars are losing access to government scientists, who cannot talk about their work, and therefore that this is of direct interest to CSHPS scholars. We also are experiencing a loss of access to government science archives.

-MOVED that "The Canadian Society for the History and Philosophy of Science endorses the statement created by Rotman Institute of Philosophy's member Wayne Myrvold on Federal Scientists Freedom to Communicate and reaffirms the centrality of the ability of scientists to communicate for the advancement of science."

Kathleen Okruhlik MOVES; Fred B. seconds; Motion PASSES unanimously.

5. Secretary's Report (cf Report) (Conor Burns)

Conor reports that membership numbers are down from last year, continuing a bit of a downward trend over last few years.

General discussion followed over whether this was something to worry about; what to do about it; possible related issue of low number of abstract submissions and low history representation overall at this meeting. There was general agreement that we should do more “reaching out” especially in soliciting submissions for meeting (this will lead to higher membership numbers, better balance of history at meetings); Debra L pointed out that HSS membership numbers are also down and a matter of concern there; academics are more strapped for funds, doing less travelling; Christophe M raised concern about too much competition next year from CSHPS, 3 Societies meeting and HSS (in Toronto); some talk about perhaps doing more with CHA; some more concerted pro-active work by next year’s Programme Committee will help

David Orenstein: MOVES to approve Sec Report, Jennifer Hubbard second; Motion PASSES unanimously

6. Treasurer’s Report (cf Report) (Conor Burns)

Despite membership number concerns, we are doing very well financially for the time being; CB points out that this year’s financial report includes a line indicating approximate cost of printing & mailing Communiqué that is offset by York U. York is not likely to pay for this much longer.

Suggestion to have more prizes for papers etc, especially for history; general agreement that this seemed like a good idea

KO: MOVES to approve Treasurer’s Report. N. Fillion second; Motion PASSES unanimously

7. Programme Committee Report (cf Report) & Hadden Prize Report (Debra Lindsay)

DL reiterated concerns re: programme: very low number of submissions this year overall; need for more reaching out by Programme Committee for next year
DL announced winner of Hadden Prize: Yann Benétreaux-Dupin

DL: MOVES to approve PC report, Christophe Malaterra second; Motion PASSES unanimously

8. Nominating Committee Report (Tara Abraham) (cf Report)

Tara: MOVES slate of nominees (no contested positions), Joan S: second.



Lesley Cormack delivering her President’s Report. Also pictured: an impressive beverage selection.

LC asks for further nominations. None put forward
Motion PASSES unanimously

LC: Request for additional member for next year’s Programme Committee to help get more historical contributions

FB: MOVES that Yves Gingras be put forward to do this. Yves agrees. Tara second; Motion PASSES.

9. Report of First VP (Frédéric Bouchard)

FB says he is continuing efforts at lobbying SSHRC.

10. Communiqué Report (Ellie Louson and Vincent Guillin) (cf report)

Time allotted for meeting has basically expired; concern expressed that Communiqué Report be moved to higher in the agenda for next year’s AGM

EL & VG give a quick presentation (with overhead of pros & cons) of going fully electronic or not; very brief general discussion followed—it was clear there remain differences in opinion especially over whether to get rid of paper version and go all electronic. Issue not resolved this year as time has run out. Agreement to stay with things as they are now.

EL reminds that CSHPS has a Facebook page (CSHPS - SCHPS) and a Twitter feed (@CSHPSnews).

Meeting adjourned.

2015 Secretary's Report

Membership

Our total membership numbers are down from last year (149), and we have had a bit of a downward trend for the past few years, but I don't think there is any reason for alarm. Any suggestions for drumming up memberships are certainly welcome.

Membership category	Number
Regular	62
Student	26
Retired/Partial employed	6
Regular + Affiliated CSHPM	2
Student/Retired + CSHPM	2
CSHPM Affiliated	28
Honorary	2
Total	128

Figures from 2014 – 149; 2013 – 155; 2012 – 161; 2011 – 146; 2010 – 147; 2009 – 120; 2008 – 139; 2007 – 103; 2006 – 68

Grants

We received from CFHSS a “Funding for International Activities” grant in the amount of \$1000 that will help cover Drake Lecture costs. Special thanks to those members who donated to our Student Travel Fund.

Respectfully,
Conor Burns, Secretary-Treasurer

Treasurer's Report

Financial Statement June 2014-May 2015
 University of Ottawa

Opening Balance	31,961.12
Credits	
Membership dues PayPal (rec'd May 2014-May 2015)	3585.56
Includes donations to	
Student Travel Fund (250.00)	
Membership dues by cheque	290.00
CFHSS (Congress reimburse 2014)	2099.90
CFHSS Funding for International Activities grant	1000.00
Sub Total	6,975.46
Total	38,936.58

Debits

Travel reimbursements (students, Brock)	385.45
AGM pizza & Drake dinner (Brock)	883.96
Drake Honorarium (for Paul Israel)	750.00
Hadden Prize	88.17
CFHSS Dues	929.35
Web domain name fee	47.22
<i>Communiqué printing & shipping</i>	<i>1600.00</i>
<i>Paid for by York Univ.</i>	<i>+1600.00</i>

Total Debits **3,084.15**

Net **35,852.43**

5-Year Running Balance

May	2010	21,442.09
May	2011	29,028.22
May	2012	27,008.87
May	2013	29,941.64
May	2014	31,961.12

Respectfully,
Conor Burns, Secretary-Treasurer

2015 CSHPS Programme Committee Report

Committee Members:

Christophe Malaterre (UQÀM)
 C. Kenneth Waters (Calgary)
 Debra Lindsay (UNB, Chair)

We received a total of 47 paper abstracts and 2 session proposals. In the end, letters of acceptance were sent to 44 authors (paper abstracts) and both session proposals; after a total of six withdrawals, the final programme includes 45 papers. Also, the committee invited Gordon McOuat and collaborators to offer a plenary session on Science and Narratives of Nature: East and West (Routledge India, 2015) and there are seven participants in this session (1 June). Additionally, in conjunction with the CSHPS executive, the committee played a role in bringing in Janet Browne (Harvard) as an “International Speaker.”

Submitted papers were weighted heavily in favor of the philosophy of science: approximately two-thirds came from that specialization with the remaining one-third focusing on either history or science-and-technology. Graduate students were well represented, with 23 sub-

missions, four of whom submitted papers for consideration for the Hadden Prize.

It is notable that the number of abstracts submitted declined significantly from 2014, especially from the History of Science, and this is reflected in the program: two rather than the usual three concurrent sessions have been scheduled. In future, more deliberate steps are clearly required to attract papers from this specialization. The committee discussed this matter and will be attentive to it next year. In particular, all History Departments will be contacted to encourage submissions from individuals in cognate fields of interest to CSHPS members. The committee also updated some of the files/letters in the package of instructions for the Program Committee passed on by the executive. In particular, the requirements for participation by presenters and session chairs were laid out, as were membership and fee requirements.

The Hadden Prize essay for 2014 is “Lost in the Multiverse: Self-locating uncertainty, typicality, and observation bias” by Yann Benétreau-Dupin (University of Western Ontario).

Debra Lindsay
(For the Committee)

Nominating Committee Report

We have sought and obtained the agreement of the following members of the society to stand for election to the following positions at this Annual General Meeting:

Program Committee:
 Christophe Malaterre (UQAM) (2nd term) to 2017
 Ken Waters (Calgary) (2nd term) to 2017
 Delia Gavrus (University of Winnipeg) to 2017

Local Arrangements:
 Megan Delehanty (University of Calgary) to 2016

Secretary-Treasurer:
 Conor Burns (Ryerson University) to 2018

Nominating Committee:
 Pierre-Olivier Méhot (Université Laval) to 2017

Advisory Board:
 Aryn Martin (York University) to 2018
 Laurence Monnais (Université de Montréal) to 2018

For information only:

The following members will continue in the offices they currently occupy, as per the terms of their previous election.

President:
 Lesley Cormack (University of Alberta) to 2016

Past-President:
 Kathleen Okruhlik (Western University) to 2016

First Vice-President:
 Frédéric Bouchard (Université de Montréal) to 2016

Second Vice-President:
 Joan Steigerwald (York University) to 2016

Advisory Board:
 Melanie Frappier (King's) to 2016
 Kenton Kroker (York University) to 2016
 Marga Vicedo (Toronto) to 2017
 Daryn Lehoux (Queen's) to 2017

Website/Listserv Manager:
 Isaac Record (Michigan State University) to 2015 or beyond

Communiqué Editors:
 Vincent Philippe Guillain (UQÀM) to 2016
 Eleanor Louson (York) to 2017

Nominating Committee:
 Tara Abraham (Guelph) to 2017

Respectfully submitted,
Tara Abraham
Jean-Pierre Marquis

Communiqué Report

Part 1: Communiqué in 2014-15:

Took over Sofie and Aryn (to be thanked for all their good work).

-3 issues : Summer / Winter / Spring

-Usual Contents : Ads for Fellowships, Jobs and Conferences / Member updates (Winter) /Minutes of the AGM (now in the Summer Issue) / Conference reports

-Innovation (more or less) : Interviews /Career Corner (Jon Turner)

Part 2: Paper copy vs. digital:

Should Communiqué transition to a digital-only version?

Pro	Con
Saves printing costs	YorkU provides printing and mailing costs: no direct savings for CSHPS. We may not receive similar \$ or in-kind donations in the future
Greater flexibility re: deadlines, corrections	Risk of continuous work for editors
More interactive format (comments, blog, website?)	Potentially more work and IT responsibilities for editors
Immediate distribution	Printed schedule and abstracts are useful for the conference
Some members prefer digital version	Some members prefer printed version.
A “green” move to reduce paper use	

The current Communiqué editors don't see an obvious choice; there are plenty of pros and cons. We are eager for member feedback on this topic and are willing to follow the will of the membership. However, we see the possible digital transition issue as only part of a bigger question about how Communiqué provides and disseminates information, and CSHPS members' engagement.

Part 3: Member engagement.

We are eager to provide relevant and timely professional information to CSHPS members. We think a discussion is warranted on how we can best keep our community engaged and informed.

-Communiqué currently struggles to obtain enough individual and department notices. Even when we inquire directly to the relevant departments, we don't receive notices of the conferences, updates, and job postings that would be relevant to our members. Sometimes we receive notices whose deadlines fall before our issue is printed.

-CSHPS has a listserv and website for member notices; these are greatly underused.

-We feel caution is warranted before beginning any new digital ventures as member demand is uncertain.

Respectfully,

Eleanor Louson

Vincent Philippe Guillen



CSHPS is now on social media!

You can find our Twitter feed @CSHPSnews and our Facebook group “CSHPS - SCHPS” at facebook.com/groups/cshps.schps/

If you'd like us to promote any announcements, updates or events on our social media pages, please email Eleanor at elouson@yorku.ca

Please join the conversation and share your updates; we'd love to hear from you and promote your research, outreach, conferences, or whatever you're working on.

Career Corner

You know that you need to customize your cover letter for each job application you send out. Two changes seem obvious, but I've heard horror stories of candidates not doing them: the address of the recipient and the line in the first paragraph that indicates the position for which you are submitting a package. There's so much more you can do to stand out. Every single document in your application package (letter, CV, research statement, teaching philosophy, teaching dossier, etc.) is a flexible document that can and should be customized. I'm going to offer some advice about the CV this time, and the CV → Resumé conversion in the next Career Corner.

Take a look at your CV. Chances are pretty good that the first two or three pages of your CV are full of education, awards, grants, publications, and other indicators of research proficiency. This is likely because your PhD-granting institution is research-intensive or that your CV was written for a granting agency like SSHRC. If research is your priority, this tells you that you should be focusing your job search on institutions that are research-intensive. If your CV doesn't reflect your priorities, then rewrite/reorder it so you can submit it to institutions that share your values (teaching or service).

However, there are so few opportunities to research and/or teach at universities that you'll probably work anywhere that offers you some combination of the two (regardless of ideal fit), and this means you need to tailor your CV and application materials to reflect the hiring institution's priorities. Success on the job market, especially a competitive one, is contingent on doing the legwork to demonstrate that you are the best fit for the job. This means customizing every application package to demonstrate how your strengths match their needs.

About the author: Jonathan Turner has a PhD in the History of Science from the University of Toronto; he is one of the founding members of a monthly national webchat for administrators to share best practices in professional development for graduate students.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Assistant Professor - History of Technology

The Institute for the History and Philosophy of Science and Technology (IHPST) at the University of Toronto invites applications for a tenure-stream appointment in the area of History of Technology at the rank of Assistant Professor. The appointment will begin on July 1, 2016.

Candidates must hold a PhD by the date of appointment, or shortly thereafter, with a demonstrated record of excellence in, and commitment to, both research and teaching. Candidates must provide strong evidence of research of an internationally competitive calibre, as evidenced by publication in leading journals in the field, presentations at significant conferences, accolades or awards, and strong endorsements by referees. Evidence of excellence in teaching is demonstrated by a strong statement of teaching philosophy, teaching accomplishments, and endorsements from referees. The successful candidate will teach courses at the IHPST at the graduate and undergraduate level and will be expected to contribute to a research-intensive doctoral program. We especially seek candidates with a commitment to shaping the future of the field of History of Technology.

Deadline: September 30, 2015

The full job description can be found at:
<https://utoronto.taleo.net/careersection/10050/jobdetail.ftl?job=1500725>

Le Département de philosophie de l'Université du Québec à Montréal (Canada) est actuellement en processus de recrutement pour le poste suivant :

POSTE DE PROFESSEUR EN PHILOSOPHIE MODERNE

SOMMAIRE DE LA FONCTION :

- Enseignement et encadrement aux trois cycles d'études
- Recherche dans le domaine
- Services à la collectivité

EXIGENCES :

- Doctorat en philosophie ou dans un domaine connexe
- Compétence en philosophie des XVII^e et XVIII^e siècles
- Dossier de réalisations scientifiques
- Maîtrise du français parlé et écrit

DATE D'ENTRÉE EN FONCTION : 1er JUIN 2016

TRAITEMENT : Selon la convention collective UQAM-SPUQ

L'Université du Québec à Montréal souscrit à un programme d'accès à l'égalité en emploi. De ce fait, elle invite les femmes, les autochtones, les membres des minorités visibles, des minorités ethniques et les personnes en situation de handicap qui répondent aux exigences du poste à soumettre leur candidature. Nous encourageons les personnes qui s'identifient à l'un ou l'autre de ces groupes à remplir le Questionnaire d'identification à la présente adresse et à le joindre à leur dossier de candidature : www.rhu.uqam.ca/visiteurs/egalite.

La priorité sera donnée aux Canadiennes, Canadiens et aux résidentes, résidents permanents.

Les personnes intéressées sont priées de faire parvenir un curriculum vitae en français, détaillé, daté et signé, des tirés à part et/ou des copies de réalisations récentes ainsi que trois lettres de recommandation AVANT LE 28 OCTOBRE 2015, 17 h à :

Monsieur Alain Voizard, directeur
Département de philosophie Université du Québec à Montréal
C.P. 8888, Succursale Centre-Ville Montréal,
Québec, H3C 3P8
Téléphone: (514) 987-3000 poste 8942
Télécopieur: (514) 987-6721

Annonce sur le site de l'UQAM:
<https://www.rhu.uqam.ca/Affichage-Profes/21606394.pdf>

Research Opportunities

Reserch Topic: Association of Geoscientists for International Development (AGID)

Antony Berger is seeking research help or advice on a matter concerning an international scientific NGO and the history of science.

When it was founded in Canada in 1974, the Association of Geoscientists for International Development (AGID) was one of the first societies specifically dedicated to building science in developing countries. Largely run by Third World earth scientists, AGID in its earlier days organized seminars, workshops, newsletters and other projects, and most importantly helped to build communication links at a time when many newly independent countries were struggling to cope with natural resource development and environmental concerns. Some of AGID's projects provided seeds which helped to form the basis for other on-going international activities (e.g. artisanal mining, provision of access to scientific information). Of course, global science has changed enormously since those times what with the advent of new technologies, the Internet, and the growth of active scientific communities in many "new" countries.

A group of us who were active in the early days—who are not getting any younger and who cannot hang on to our files much longer—think that it would be timely to have a history of the Association in the pre-Internet world and its part in helping to build civil society through growing science, set within the context of technical assistance programs at the time.

Pour tout renseignement complémentaire, prière de contacter Alain Voizard : voizard.alain@uqam.ca

If this topic might interest any members or university departments as a Masters or Doctoral project, please get in touch with Antony Berger (aberger@bellaliant.net) for further information.

Hewton and Griffin Bursaries for Archival Research in 2016

The Friends of the Archives at the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH), dedicated to the history of Canadian psychiatry, mental health and addiction, have established two endowment funds. These funds annually provide bursaries in memory of their late colleagues, Ms. E.M. (Lil) Hewton and Dr. J.D.M. (Jack) Griffin, O.C. The main purpose of the bursaries is to provide financial assistance to students, and others not necessarily associated with an academic institution, who propose to undertake archival research on an aspect of the history of mental health or addiction in Canada. The FOA board at its discretion may approve bursaries to a maximum of \$2,500 each.

There is no application form. Candidates are invited to submit a letter of intent not exceeding 300 words, together with a budget and résumé, not later than November 30, 2015. These awards are conditional on the bursary holders agreeing to submit progress reports within one year, and a final report including a financial synopsis within two years of receiving the bursary.

For examples of bursary projects that we have previously awarded, please refer to that feature as included in the SPRING editions of our past years' Newsletters, indexed at: http://www.camh.ca/en/education/about/services/camh_library/Pages/guide_friends_archives.aspx

Electronic submissions to John.Court@camh.ca are preferred. Otherwise, send your application package to:
Vivienne Gibbs – President, Friends of the Archives

CAMH, 1001 Queen Street West
Toronto, Ontario M6J 1H4

Deadline: November 30, 2015.

Conferences

“Science in the Developing World: Enhancing Research Capacity” Balsillie School for International Affairs September 17-18, 2015

Science is crucial for both diagnosing and addressing societal problems. How should we address the challenges of doing science in the developing world? What challenges exist in particular contexts, and how can we ameliorate them?

On Sep. 17-18, at the Balsillie School for International Affairs in Waterloo, scholars will convene to take part in panel discussions on 1) global health and development, 2) institutions and collaborations, 3) agriculture and environment, and 4) politics, numbers, and representation. There will also be a graduate student poster competition. The keynote lecture will be by Romain Murenzi, executive director of The World Academy of Science (<http://twas.org>).

Registration is free, but required. For more information and registration, go to <https://scienceintheenvironmentalworld.wordpress.com>

“Historical Investigations in Science” A mini-conference hosted by the Department of Philosophy, University of Calgary October 23-24, 2015

Speakers:

John Beatty, University of British Columbia
Eric Desjardins, University of Western Ontario
Paul Roth, University of California, Santa Cruz

Jessica Theodor, University of Calgary
 Mike Travisano, University of Minnesota

For more information, please contact Marc Ereshefsky at ereshefs@ucalgary.ca

**The Collaboration Conundrum:
 Special Interests and Scientific Research
 University of Notre Dame (IN)
 November 5-6, 2015**

Industry currently funds the majority of research and development in the United States. But historical scandals involving industry-funded research, together with empirical evidence of correlations in some areas of science between industry funding and research results favorable to industry, have undermined trust in industry-funded science. Given that so much research funding comes from industry, it would be unrealistic and wasteful to dismiss this research across the board as unreliable and unconcerned with the public good. What to do? Government reports and scholarly publications are currently extolling the value of public participation in scientific research, and a number of funding agencies are now encouraging initiatives such as community-based participatory research (CBPR). Could the participation of citizen groups in industry-funded research also prove valuable—to increase the relevance, reliability, and acceptability of industry research?

The focus of our workshop is collaborative research that brings the public (NGOs) and industry together. Of course, government reports and scholarly publications have recently trumpeted the value of fostering public participation in scientific research, and a number of funding agencies are now encouraging initiatives such as community-based participatory research (CBPR). However, the participation of citizen groups in industry-funded research is receiving much less attention despite its potential to increase the relevance, reliability, and public acceptability of

industry work. Our intent, then, is to rectify this lacuna especially regarding important policy issues such as genetically modified organisms (GMOs), pollution and toxic chemicals, agricultural practices and animal welfare, and fracking.

Plenary: Peter Kareiva is Chief Scientist at the Nature Conservancy, a non-profit organization that collaborates with industry. He has held positions in academia for 20 years and in government with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Registration, travel, accommodations, further information: The Collaboration Conundrum Conference will be held at Notre Dame's Conference Center.

A block of rooms is being held at Morris Inn of Notre Dame, please mention the Collaboration Conundrum Conference when making hotel reservations with the Morris Inn (morrisinn.nd.edu or 800-280-7256).

All conference-related information will be posted on the Reilly Center's website at <https://reilly.nd.edu>. Further inquiries may be addressed to Tori Davies at tdavies@nd.edu and 574-631-5015.

**Call For Papers - Eighth Joint Meeting of the
 BSHS, CSHPS, and HSS
 Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
 June 22-25, 2016**

The eighth joint meeting of the British Society for the History of Science, the Canadian Society for the History and Philosophy of Science, and the History of Science Society will take place in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. Previous successful meetings were in Philadelphia (2012), Oxford (2008), Halifax, Nova Scotia (2004), St Louis (2000), Edinburgh (1996), Toronto (1992), and Manchester (1988).

The theme of the meeting will be 'Transitions.' Although presenters are not confined to this theme, the Program Committee is seeking papers or sessions that reflect this theme and encourages participants to consider the broader scientific, scholarly and social implications associated with moments of scientific transition. Transitions might include such ideas as moving from one scientific meme to another, one locality to another or generational change.

The programme will include themed sessions, plenary lectures and panels. A typical presentation will be 20 minutes plus 10 minutes for questions, but special sessions such as round tables and panels will be accommodated.

The conference will take place at the University of Alberta. Founded in 1905, U of A is located in Edmonton, Canada's most northern major city. Edmonton is known as the 'Gateway to the North' and is the capital of the province. It is a major economic and cultural hub, situated on the banks of the North Saskatchewan River. The conference will include education and outreach activities, a reception at the Art Gallery of Alberta and a Conference Dinner. Delegates can explore the vibrant arts scene, and there are many festivals in June, including the Edmonton International Jazz Festival. Accommodation will be available on campus and near campus.

The Programme Committee welcomes proposals for sessions or individual papers based around the conference theme from researchers at all stages of their careers. Participation is in no way limited to members of the three organising societies, but there will be a discount for members. Intending participants should also note that the usual HSS rules concerning presenting at successive conferences do not apply to this meeting.

The deadline for submitting a session or paper proposal is 4 December 2015.

Full details of how to submit your session or abstract can be found at: www.uab.ca/3societies

Enquiries concerning the program should be directed to: aede@ualberta.ca

Enquiries concerning the conference should be directed to: threesocieties2016@ualberta.ca

The 25th International Council for the History of Science and Technology

Rio de Janeiro
July 23-29, 2017

The Website is now online: <http://www.ichst2017.sbcc.org.br/>

The Congress organizers have also issued the first Circular of the Congress. It can be downloaded from the DHST Website: <https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&pid=sites&srcid=ZGhzdHdLYi5vcmd8d3d3fGd4OjE0NWU4ODJhZDZlZDc0ZGU>

Please note that the deadline for proposing symposia for the Congress is 30 April 2016 (http://www.ichst2017.sbcc.org.br/conteudo/view?ID_CONTEUDO=249)

UPDATES

York STS - New Hires

The Department of Science and Technology Studies at York University is delighted to announce three new hires commencing in 2015-16.

Dr Hélène Mialet will join the Department as Assistant Professor in January 2016. Dr Mialet did her PhD in Paris (Sorbonne and École des Mines) and has held positions at the Institut Pasteur, Cornell, Cambridge, Berkeley and Davis. As the author of *L'Enterprise Créatrice, Le rôle des récits*,

des objets et de l'acteur dans l'invention (Hermès-Lavoisier 2008) and *Hawking Incorporated: Stephen Hawking and the Anthropology of the Knowing Subject* (Chicago 2012) she is well-known in STS and HPS circles. Her current work includes a study of type 1-diabetes as a way of engaging with ideas of selfhood, technology, medical markets and the “Quantified Self” movement.

Dr Jill Lazenby was appointed Assistant Lecturer in July 2015 in the Department’s Division of Natural Science, which is responsible for general education teaching for non-science majors. Dr Lazenby completed her PhD in Toronto (IHPST) and has a broad range of teaching experience for over a decade at York. Her work focuses on the history and social studies of the life sciences and science pedagogy.

Dr Vera Pavri was appointed Assistant Lecturer in July 2015, also in the Department’s Division of Natural Science. Dr Pavri (PhD, IHPST) has held numerous teaching and research appointments at the University of Toronto, Osgoode Hall, Ryerson and York. She works in the area of technology regulation and the history and social studies of technology.

Ernie Hamm
Chair, Department of Science and Technology
Studies
York University
www.yorku.ca/sts

Conference Report

Debra Lindsay (UNB) attended the conference on “Gendering Science” sponsored by the Commission for Women in Science of the International Union for History and Philosophy of Science/ Division of History of Science (IUHPS/DHS) in Praha, Czech Republic. As the only representative from Canada at this meeting I would like to encourage others to attend.

The Commission meets every two years and a call for papers and sessions for the 2017 meeting (July) to be held in conjunction with the DHPS/IUHPS conference in Rio de Janeiro may be found by accessing the following online sites: the website at <http://wsc.hypotheses.org> and the Facebook page at <https://www.facebook.com/cowogs>. There is also a listserv that may be accessed by contacting Donald Opitz at [cowgs@mailman.depaul.edu](mailto:cwogs@mailman.depaul.edu)

HPS Online Resources

compiled by Eleanor Louson

Over the last few years, we’ve seen an explosion of blogs, discussion groups, and other online resources focusing on history of science topics. Offerings for the philosophy of science are less common, but reliably deliver thoughtful and timely content. What follows is a compendium of our take on the best current online resources for HPS scholars; these range from collective research projects and paper databases to blogs on research or professional topics. It’s by no means complete; if you have any examples to add to this list, please let us know over the CSHPS listserv.

History and Philosophy of Science

<https://whewellsghost.wordpress.com/>

The collective blog **Whewell’s Ghost** has a weekly curated list of blog posts from the online HPS community.

<http://www.situsci.ca/sts-hps-resources-canada>

The now-completed **Situating Science** cluster maintains a page of Canadian research centres & institutes for HPS and STS.

<http://thebubblechamber.org>

The Bubble Chamber is a blog written by historians and philosophers of science for discussing contemporary issues of science and society. Many of its editors are CSHPS student members.

<https://utsic.escalator.utoronto.ca/home/>

The **U of T Scientific Instrument Collection** blog and online catalogue is the result of extensive volunteer research and curation from IHPST grad students, including past/present CSHPS members.

Philosophy of Science

<http://plato.stanford.edu>

The **Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy** is a dynamic scholarly reference work with articles on many topics in the philosophy of science, some written by members of CSHPS.

<http://philpapers.org>

PhilPapers is a massive repository of philosophy books, articles, and manuscripts, with a major category of work in Science, Logic, and Mathematics.

<http://philsci-archive.pitt.edu>

The **PhilSci-Archive** is a repository of preprint philosophy of science articles.

<http://www.sps-philoscience.org>

The website of the **Société de Philosophie des Sciences** offers excellent news and resources for the philosophy of science community.

<http://dailynous.com>

Daily Nous is a news and information site on the philosophy profession, updating daily with links, guest blog posts, and group discussions.

<http://itisonlyattheory.blogspot.ca>

It's Only a Theory is a group blog on the general philosophy of science.

<http://rationallyspeaking.blogspot.ca>

<http://scientiasalon.org>

Rationally Speaking and **Scientia Salon** are Massimo Pigliucci's philosophy of science blogs. They ceased activity this August, but posts cover a wide range of issues in science and philosophy dating back to 2000.

<http://www.hopos.org>

The International Society for the History of Philosophy of Science's email list **HOPOS-g** is an active discussion list.

History of Science

<https://networks.h-net.org/h-sci-med-tech>

H-Sci-Med-Tech is a key network for the interdisciplinary study (primarily history) of the sciences, medicine, and technology, within the wider **H-Net** network.

<http://remedianetwork.net>

REMEDIA is a multi-contributor blog on the history of medicine, also dealing with contemporary issues.

http://theuth.univ-rennes1.fr/liste_diffusion.html

Theuth is a listserv for the general history of science.

<http://sfhsh.hypotheses.org>

The blog of the **Société française pour l'Histoire des sciences de l'homme** has news, calls for papers, and publications in the history of the human sciences.

<https://chsi.harvard.edu/>

Harvard University has a new online **Collection of Historical Scientific Instruments**.

<http://recipes.hypotheses.org>

The Recipes Project is a collective blog by scholars interested in the history of recipes. A very tasty website!

<https://teleskopos.wordpress.com/>

The University of Kent's history of science lecturer Rebekah Higgitt has an excellent blog **teleskopos** dealing with museums and the history of science, which includes posts from her Guardian Science Blog **The H Word**.

<http://www.dictionaryofneurology.com/>

The **Dictionary of Neurology Project** focuses on

the history of neurology and neuroscience, and in particular critiques “neuroculture.”

<http://www.nineteenthcenturydisability.org/>
 The browsable site **Nineteenth-Century Disability: Cultures and Contexts** is an amazing source of primary texts and images of physical and cognitive disability, annotated by scholars.

Thanks to Isaac Record (Michigan State University), Jaipreet Virdi-Dhesi (Brock University), and Vincent Guillin (UQÀM) for their suggestions.

Entretien avec Sarah Arnaud (suite)

Communiqué: Comment choisis-tu les colloques et les congrès auxquels tu soumets tes propositions de contribution ?

Sarah: Je crois qu'il faut d'abord être à l'affût des appels à contributions, en s'abonnant à des listes de diffusion (comme, pour la philosophie, Philos-L, par exemple), en demandant conseil à sa direction de thèse ou aux enseignant.e.s de son département, et en comptant aussi sur les autres étudiant.e.s avec lesquels on travaille pour nous aiguiller vers des événements qui nous auraient échappé. Ensuite, quand je projette de participer à une conférence généraliste en philosophie (comme celle de l'ACP), j'y vais avec l'idée que c'est l'occasion pour moi – qui ai une thématique de recherche est très spécialisée et interdisciplinaire (au croisement des sciences cognitives, de la psychologie et de la psychiatrie) – de m'assurer que la structure argumentative de mon projet est philosophiquement solide et pertinente, tout en essayant de le rendre accessible et intéressant à un public profane... mais exigeant. Pour les conférences plus spécialisées, comme celle de la SCHPS, je retiens celles où j'ai la chance d'avoir le retour le plus pointu, les commentaires les plus pertinents pour ma recherche et de faire les rencontres les plus intéressantes pour étoffer mon réseau.

Communiqué: Pour le financement, quelles pistes explorer ?

Sarah: Il est judicieux de frapper à toutes les portes. Il faut solliciter sa direction de recherche, le Département ou la Faculté auxquels on est rattaché (à l'UQAM, par exemple, la Faculté des Sciences Humaines organise un concours bi-annuel d'aide à la participation à des rencontres scientifiques). Il ne faut pas hésiter non plus à contacter les organisateurs des conférences pour voir s'ils n'auraient pas des fonds disponibles, ou des conseils pour l'hébergement, etc. Quand on doit voyager loin, par exemple en Europe, on peut aussi rationaliser ses dépenses en allant à plusieurs conférences qui ont lieu à des endroits proches, qui se déroulent généralement au printemps et à l'été. La difficulté, bien sûr, c'est qu'il faut souvent avancer des sommes conséquentes, qui sont ensuite remboursées : il faut donc bien planifier ses dépenses et choisir les conférences qui en valent la peine.

Communiqué: Quels conseils donnerais-tu aux étudiant.e.s qui vont participer à leur premier congrès ?

Sarah: Il y a différentes écoles, mais je crois que les contraintes de l'exercice (en général, une présentation de 20 à 40 minutes) indiquent des balises claires – être modeste dans le volume de ce que l'on veut transmettre ; spécifier d'emblée clairement l'argument que l'on veut défendre ; ne pas parler trop vite ; ne pas se perdre dans des préambules ou des mises en contexte qui n'en finissent pas ; s'entraîner préalablement à l'oral pour bien posséder son sujet ... et respecter le temps imparti ; si on veut absolument lire sa présentation, se l'approprier pour rendre la lecture fluide ; si on utilise un power point, ne pas le surcharger d'informations ou se contenter de le répéter ; mais l'essentiel est d'être à l'aise pour être en mesure de transmettre clairement et simplement son message.

Communiqué: Récemment, la communauté philosophique a sérieusement pris la mesure de la sous-représentation des femmes (et des minorités) dans ses rangs et des différents problèmes d'équité et d'attractivité qui résultent d'un tel état de fait. Or, tu participes très activement à Fillosophie (<http://fillosophie.org>), une initiative des étudiantes en philosophie de l'UQAM qui vise justement à sensibiliser les membres de la communauté philosophique à cette question. Quelles sont les activités que vous proposez ? Quels sont vos objectifs ?

Sarah: en un sens, les conférences sont un bon symptôme de cette situation : en dépit des efforts des organisateurs, le déséquilibre est patent pour ce qui est de la représentation des femmes et des minorités dans les conférences généralistes en philosophie, et s'accentue encore plus dans des conférences spécialisées (comme en philosophie de l'esprit ou des sciences cognitives, par exemple), même si des champs semblent plus accueillants aux femmes (c'est le cas de celles qui ont trait aux recherches sur les émotions ou l'autisme notamment). Avec Fillosophie, nous voulons rendre visible à toutes et tous, au travers de conférences mensuelles, la présence des femmes, étudiantes ou professeures, en leur permettant de présenter leurs recherches en cours, et sans que ces travaux portent nécessairement sur la philosophie féministe. On espère ainsi favoriser à la fois la reconnaissance de la contribution des femmes à l'ensemble de la discipline et, plus indirectement, fournir aux étudiantes des exemples de figures ou de parcours qui leur montrent qu'elles ont leur place dans la communauté philosophique. Personnellement, c'est seulement après être arrivée au Québec après mes études en France, que j'ai pris conscience de cette problématique. À la réflexion, au cours de mon cursus, je n'ai dû avoir que 2 enseignantes ; ce serait donc plutôt dans mes lectures que j'ai trouvé ces modèles, notamment la philosophe Christine Tappolet (d'abord pour son livre *Émotions et valeurs*) et, plus récemment, la psychologue Lisa Feldman

Barrett (Northeastern University, Boston). Et tout bien considéré, je dirais volontiers qu'aujourd'hui, les femmes qui veulent faire de la philosophie leur métier se doivent d'être féministes, parce que c'est par l'entremise de ce combat – qui n'est pas de tout repos et qui bien souvent se mène au détriment de leurs propres recherches – que leurs contributions aux différents champs de la discipline seront reconnues à leur juste valeur par la communauté philosophique.

Communiqué: Tu entres dans ta 4e année de thèse – quel est le plan de match pour 2015-6 ? Comment organises-tu ton travail ?

Sarah: je suis pas mal organisée comme personne, donc, sachant que la priorité, c'est la rédaction de la thèse (ce que ne manque pas de me rappeler mes directeurs !), j'ai mis sur mon agenda les échéances à plus ou moins long terme (à savoir la date de rendu prévisible de mon projet de thèse, des différents chapitres, etc.) ; j'ai aussi décidé de ralentir un peu le rythme des conférences pour les deux années à venir, tout en continuant à m'investir dans Fillosophie et dans l'organisation de conférences dans le cadre du Cercle de Recherche de l'Institut des Sciences Cognitives de Montréal. Je dois aussi finir de réviser un article sur la moralité chez les autistes soumis à une revue. Et, pour mener à bien mon doctorat, je vais continuer à chercher du financement, notamment en sollicitant des contrats de monitorat et de correction dans mon Département, ce qui permet de s'initier à l'enseignement et de rafraîchir ses connaissances. Même si parfois elles peuvent sembler distraire de la thèse, toutes ces activités annexes sont importantes parce qu'elles permettent d'évoluer dans un groupe, d'être entouré, de ne pas rester seul durant les phases de rédaction qui peuvent être assez arides... et solitaires.

Communiqué: Un programme chargé pour l'année à venir! On te souhaite donc le meilleur pour la suite.

Reminders from the Website & Listserv Manager

Members can share event announcements and other items of interest on our website, www.yorku.ca/cshps1, or via our members-only email listserv.

For the listserv, please send items to cshps@yorku.ca using the email you used to register for CSHPS.

Please note that replies to listserv messages are directed to the original sender. To reply to the entire list, please send to cshps@yorku.ca.

To update or remove your email address, please email isaac.record@gmail.com.

For the website, please send items to isaac.record@gmail.com. To report problems with the website, please click “contact webmaster” on any page.

To join CSHPS, please visit <http://www.yorku.ca/cshps1> and click “Join.”

For our next issue, please send us your individual news and updates for our announcements section. We want to know what you've been up to! Look for our call for notices on the CSHPS listserv.

Pour notre prochain numéro, prière de nous envoyer vos nouvelles et actualités individuelles pour notre section annonces. Nous voulons savoir ce que vous faites! Consultez notre appel à contributions sur la liste de diffusion SCHPS.

GSHPS/SSHPS
STS Program
Division of Natural Science
Bethune College, Room 218
YORK University
Toronto ON M3J 1P3
Canada